Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/689,131	HETZEL,, JOHN	N M.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	
(1) Stefan Staicovici.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Steve Elleman.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2005</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Those in case			
Claims discussed: Those in case			
Prior art documents discussed:  Art of record			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of	written summary of to the interview, since	he substance
			•
•			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that claims 23 and 36 read upon as a simple "coated" helmet. As such, Examiner Staicovici suggested to include the limitation that "the resin mixture impregnates the fiber-based filler" in order to provide clear and supported claim language to define the claims over the art of record.